Deconfined criticality: SU(2) Dejavu



Anatoly Kuklov (CSI, CUNY)

Nikolay Prokof'ev (UMASS, Amherst)

Boris Svistunov (UMASS, Amherst)

Matthias Troyer (ETH)

Munehisa Matsumoto (UC Davis)

PRL 101, 050405 (2008) Annals of Physics 321, 1601 (2006) Prog. Theor. Phys. Jap. 160 (2005) PRL 93, 230402 (2004)



"Quantum critical phenomena" Totonto, September 25-27, 2008

Why Dejavu?

 $U(1) \times U(1)$

Contributing authors

Simulations of specific models

claims of new criticality

Problems with scaling for systems

SU(2)

Many of the same authors

Same type of models

claims of new criticality

claims of new criticality

Method of solution: flowgrams Dejavu → generic I-order Solid = insulator with broken translational symmetry (integer filling excluded)



Density-order

$$\langle n_i n_j \rangle$$

Current (or bond) order

 $\left\langle \boldsymbol{J}_{i}^{2}\boldsymbol{J}_{j}^{2}
ight
angle$



Ψ B_x, B_y D_x, D_y M. . .

order parameters:

"Naïve" Ginzburg-Landau (expansion from the generic quantum disordered phase)



$$\vec{S} = (\underbrace{S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4, S_5}_{\Psi} \dots)$$
$$\Psi \quad M \quad B_x, B_y$$

- multi-component order parameter; the symmetry of S is ALWAYS broken in the ground state $\left| \vec{S} \right| \neq 0$

O(3)-case:



Heisenberg point = exact O(3)-symmetry



Generic case; I-order SF-S transition



SF and VBS orders are deeply related ... May be even self-dual at the critical point!

O.I. Motrunich and A. Vishwanath, Phys. Rev. 70, 075104 (2004). T. Senthil, A. Vishwanath, L. Balents, S. Sachdev, and M.P.A. Fisher, Science, 303, 1490 (2004):

Deconfined criticality, does not fit the standard Landau-Ginsburg-Wilson paradigm.



DCP action:

$$S = \int d^{2}r \, d\tau \sum_{a=1,2} \left| \left(\partial_{\mu} - iA_{\mu} \right) z_{a} \right|^{2} + s \left| z \right|^{2} + u \left(\left| z \right|^{2} \right)^{2} + v \left| z_{1} \right|^{2} \left| z_{2} \right|^{2} + \kappa \left(\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\beta} \partial_{\nu} A_{\beta} \right)^{2} \right)^{2}$$



DCP action:

$$SU(2)$$

$$v = 0$$

$$S_{\psi} = \sum_{i} \sum_{a=1,2} \left| \left(\partial_{\mu} - iA_{\mu} \right) \psi_{a} \right|^{2} + s \left| \psi \right|^{2} + u \left(\left| \psi \right|^{2} \right)^{2} + v \left| \psi_{1} \right|^{2} \left| \psi_{2} \right|^{2} + \kappa \left(\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\beta} \partial_{\nu} A_{\beta} \right)^{2}$$

$$S_{XY} = -J\sum_{r,\mu} \left[\cos\left(\Delta_{\mu}\varphi_{1} - A_{\mu}\right) + \cos\left(\Delta_{\mu}\varphi_{2} - A_{\mu}\right) \right] + \kappa \sum_{\Box} \left(\nabla \times A\right)^{2}$$

$$S_{CP^{1}} = \sum_{i} \sum_{a=1,2} \left| \left(\partial_{\mu} - iA_{\mu} \right) \psi_{a} \right|^{2} + \kappa \left(\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\beta} \partial_{\nu} A_{\beta} \right)^{2} \text{ with } |\psi_{1}|^{2} + |\psi_{2}|^{2} = 1$$

$$S_{J} = U \sum_{r} F[j_{a\mu}(r)] + g \sum_{rr',\mu} Q(r-r') \left(j_{1\mu}(r) + j_{2\mu}(r) \right) \cdot \left(j_{1\mu}(r') + j_{2\mu}(r') \right)$$

$$Q^{-1}(q) = \sum_{\mu} \sin^{2}(q_{\mu}/2) \rightarrow Q(r) \sim 1/r$$

Mappings:



INSULATOR (NORMAL)





Winding numbers = MC "blessing"

(Pollock, Ceperley '87)

Superfluid stiffness:
$$\Lambda_s = TL^{d-2} \langle W^2 \rangle \rightarrow \langle W^2 \rangle / L$$

j-current through any cross-section

Probe system properties at the largest scales; ideal for studies of critical phenomena







Quantity to study at
the transition point: $\langle W^2 \rangle = f(L) \rightarrow \begin{cases} \infty & \text{I-order} \\ \text{const} & \text{continuous (scale invariance)} \end{cases}$

Phase diagram of the U(1)xU(1) DCP action

short-range version

 $gQ(r-r') \rightarrow V\delta(r-r')$



Flowgrams of $\langle W^2 \rangle_L$. What to expect across the tricitical point.







$U(1) \times U(1)$ case



Proof of first-order transition at g=0.58



SU(2) case

$$\begin{split} H &= J \sum_{x,i} \vec{S}_x \cdot \vec{S}_{x+\hat{i}} - Q \sum_x \left[(\vec{S}_x \cdot \vec{S}_{x+\hat{1}} - \frac{1}{4}) (\vec{S}_{x+\hat{2}} \cdot \vec{S}_{x+\hat{1}+\hat{2}} - \frac{1}{4}) \right] & \text{A. W. Sandvik '07} \\ &+ (\vec{S}_x \cdot \vec{S}_{x+\hat{2}} - \frac{1}{4}) (\vec{S}_{x+\hat{1}} \cdot \vec{S}_{x+\hat{1}+\hat{2}} - \frac{1}{4}) \right] & \text{R. G. Melko and R. K. Kaul '07} \\ \end{split}$$



F.-J. Jiang^a, M. Nyfeler^a, S. Chandrasekharan^b, and U.-J. Wiese^a $| {}^{\bullet} 07$

SU(2) case, CP^{1} - model



"Smoking gun" of the first-order transition



SU(2) case





Conclusions:

1. So far, all 2+1 dim. models of the SF-S transitions observe either I-order, or problems with scaling

in agreement with both (!!)

GWL using
$$\begin{vmatrix} \vec{S} = (S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4, S_5...) \end{vmatrix}$$

 $\Psi S_{CB} B_x, B_y$

DCP action = a continuous theory of weak I-order scenario

2. Strong renormalized pairing of vortices on large scales may be the mechanism preventing deconfined criticality from happening

≠0

SFS

SF

g