Variational Cluster Perturbation Theory ^{and} Superconductivity in the Hubbard Model

D. Sénéchal and A.-M.S. Tremblay

Département de physique, Université de Sherbrooke Sherbrooke, Québec, Canada Regroupement québécois pour les matériaux de pointe (RQMP)

Collaborators : P. Sahebsara, M.-A. Marois, P.-L. Lavertu



FACULTÉ des SCIENCES DÉPARTEMENT de PHYSIQUE

d-SC in the Hubbard model?

- Dynamical cluster approximation (DCA) Th. Maier, M. Jarrell, Th. Pruschke, and J. Keller PRL 85, 1524 (2000) Th. Maier et al., cond-mat/0504529
- Exact diagonalizations
 D. Poilblanc and D.J. Scalapino Phys. Rev. B 66, 052513 (2002)
- Variational wavefunctions

 A. Paramekanti, Mohit Randeria, and Nandini Trivedi, PRL 87, 217002 (2001)
 S. Sorella et al., PRL 88, 117002 (2002)
- Variational Cluster Perturbation Theory

 D. Sénéchal, P.-L. Lavertu, M.-A. Marois and A.-M.S. Tremblay, PRL 94, 156404 (2005)
 M. Aichorn and E. Arrigoni, cond-mat/0502047
 W. Hanke et al., cond-mat/0506364
- Cluster Dynamical Mean Field Theory (CDMFT) S. Kancharla et al., (in preparation)

Review : Th. Maier, M. Jarrell, Th. Pruschke, M.H. Hettler, RMP (2005)

Outline

- 1. Variational Cluster Perturbation Theory
- 2. Computational details
- 3. Interplay of Antiferromagnetism and d-wave superconductivity

Outline

1. Variational Cluster Perturbation Theory

2. Computational details

3. Interplay of Antiferromagnetism and d-wave superconductivity

Self-energy functional Theory

M. Potthoff, Eur. Phys. J. B 32, 429 (2003).

Dynamic variational principle:

$$\frac{\delta\Omega_t[\Sigma]}{\delta\Sigma} = 0 \implies \frac{\partial\Omega_t(h)}{\partial h} = 0$$
$$\Omega_t[\Sigma] = F[\Sigma] - \operatorname{Tr}\ln(G_0^{-1} - \Sigma)$$

Universality of F:

$$\Omega_t[\Sigma] + \operatorname{Tr}\ln(G_0^{-1} - \Sigma) = \Omega_{t'}[\Sigma] + \operatorname{Tr}\ln(G_0^{\prime - 1} - \Sigma)$$

Lattice vs reference Hamiltonian: differ in their 1-body terms

$$H = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} t_{\alpha\beta} c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{\beta} + U \sum_{\mathbf{r}} n_{\mathbf{r}\uparrow} n_{\mathbf{r}\downarrow} \qquad H' : t_{\alpha\beta} \to t'_{\alpha\beta}$$

Restrict Σ to exact self-energy of reference system H'

$$\Omega_t[\Sigma] = \Omega' - \operatorname{Tr} \ln \left[1 + (G_0^{-1} - G_0'^{-1})G' \right]$$

Variational Cluster Approach (VCA, VCPT) Reference system : isolated cluster

Dahnken et al., Phys. Rev. B 70, 245110 (2004). Sénéchal et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 156404 (2005).



Nambu formalism

Singlet d-wave Weiss field:



Particle-hole transformation on the down spins:

$$c_{i,1} = c_{i\uparrow}$$
 $c_{i,2} = c_{i\downarrow}^{\dagger}$

Example: hopping matrix of 2-site cluster:

$$\hat{t} = \begin{pmatrix} U - \mu - M & -t & 0 & -\Delta \\ -t & U - \mu + M & -\Delta & 0 \\ \hline 0 & -\Delta & \mu - M & t \\ -\Delta & 0 & t & M \end{pmatrix}$$



1. Variational Cluster Perturbation Theory

2. Computational details

3. Interplay of Antiferromagnetism and d-wave superconductivity

Cluster vs lattice Green functions

Zero-temperature cluster Green function:

$$G'_{\alpha\beta}(z) = \langle \Omega | c_{\alpha} \frac{1}{z - H' + E'_0} c^{\dagger}_{\beta} | \Omega \rangle + \langle \Omega | c^{\dagger}_{\beta} \frac{1}{z + H' - E'_0} c_{\alpha} | \Omega \rangle$$

- Computed with the Lanczos method.
- Continued fraction representation stored.
- Easily computed for any complex frequency z

Approximate (periodized) lattice Green function:

$$G(\mathbf{k},\omega) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}'} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')} \left(\frac{1}{G_0^{-1}(\mathbf{k},\omega) - \Sigma(\omega)}\right)_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}'}$$

Calculation of the functional

$$\Omega_t[\Sigma] = \Omega' - \operatorname{Tr} \ln \left[1 + (G_0^{-1} - G_0'^{-1})G' \right]$$



Note:

Use Gauss-Legendre integration by segments Special orthogonal polynomials sometimes used: weight $\ln(\omega)$

Calculation of the functional Importance of dimensionality



Momentum integrals

- Faster to vary **k** for fixed ω than other way around
- Use a non-uniform grid if integrand is peaked

	3393 noints
	\cdots
	$\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots = \mu = 1$
	$\cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot = 1$
	$\cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot = +^{2} - 0.2$
	$\cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot = -0.5$
	$\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots = 1 \qquad \qquad \uparrow = () 2$
	$\cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot = \Lambda - \Lambda$
	$\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots = \Box = 0.4$
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	• • •::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
HAR 11	
• <u>••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••</u>	

reduced Brillouin zone

Order parameters

Generic 1-body operator:
$$O = s_{\alpha\beta}c_{\alpha}^{\dagger}c_{\beta}$$

Calculate $\langle c_{\alpha}^{\dagger}c_{\beta} \rangle$ from lattice Green function: Integrate around poles of negative real axis
 $G_{\beta\alpha}(z) = \sum_{n} \frac{1}{z - E_n + E_0} \langle \Omega | c_{\beta} | n \rangle \langle n | c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} | \Omega \rangle \left(+ \frac{1}{z + E_n - E_0} \langle \Omega | c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} | n \rangle \langle n | c_{\beta} | \Omega \rangle \right)$
Nambu indices
 $\langle O \rangle = s_{\beta\alpha} \langle c_{\beta}^{\dagger}c_{\alpha} \rangle = \int_{C_{<}} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi i} \operatorname{Tr} [sG(z)] = -\operatorname{Im} \int_{C} \frac{d\omega}{\pi} \sum_{\mathbf{K}} s_{\mathbf{xx'}}^{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{K}) G_{\mathbf{x'x}}^{\nu\mu}(\mathbf{K}, z)$
half contour reduced BZ

Ω vs antiferromagnetic Weiss field example of first order transition (2x2 cluster)

U=8t, t'=-0.3t, t''=0.2t



Omega – Omega(0)

Ω vs dSC Weiss field example of second order transition (2x2 cluster)

U=8t, t'=-0.3t, t''=0.2t



15

BEDT model : Ω vs d_{xy} Weiss field example of first order transition with discontinuity



U=0.7, t = 0.25

D1 (Weiss field)

P. Sahebsara & D. Sénéchal (in preparation).

two-parameter variation



Outline

1. Variational Cluster Perturbation Theory

2. Computational details

3. Interplay of Antiferromagnetism and d-wave superconductivity

AF, d-SC Weiss fields and order parameters vs chemical potential

U = 8t, t'=-0.3t, t''=0.2t, 2x4 cluster



Sénéchal et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 156404 (2005).

AF order parameter

U = 8t, t'=-0.3t, t''=0.2t



Sénéchal et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 156404 (2005).



Sénéchal et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 156404 (2005).

AF order parameter: U = 6,8,12





Sénéchal et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 156404 (2005).

d-SC order parameter: U=6,8,12

t'=-0.3t, t''=0.2t, 2x4 cluster



Sénéchal et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 156404 (2005).

Momentum Distribution Curves

2x4 cluster, U = 8t, t'=-0.3t, t" = 0.2t

d-SC order only







ARPES results

Hole-doped cuprate Ca_{2-x}Na_xCuO₂Cl₂

F. Ronning et al., Phys. Rev. B 67, 165101 (2003)

Nd_{2-x}Ce_xCuO₄ or NCCO (e-doped) Armitage et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 257001 (2002)









EDCs : d-SC order

2x4 cluster, U = 8t, t'=-0.3t, t" = 0.2t, 7% h-doped



Sénéchal et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 156404 (2005).

EDCs : AF + d-SC order

2x4 cluster, U = 8t, t'=-0.3t, t" = 0.2t, 10% e-doped



Sénéchal et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 156404 (2005).

EDCs : AF + d-SC order

2x4 cluster, U = 8t, t'=-0.3t, t" = 0.2t, 10% e-doped

 $M = 3.5, \ \mu = 1.8$



Conclusions

- General agreement with phase diagram of cuprates
 - moderate hole-doping : pure d-SC
 - small hole-doping : pure AF (shape dependent)
 - moderate electron doping : AF + d-SC
 - larger electron doping : small pure d-SC region
 - hole-doping : d-SC order parameter scales like 1/U
 - AF to d-SC transition still murky on hole-doped side (variational space too restrictive)





Réseau Québécois de Calcul de Haute Performance Éducation, Loisir et Sport Québec 🎄 🎄



Canada Foundation for Innovation Fondation canadienne pour l'innovation









Le regroupement québécois sur les matériaux de pointe

THE END